| 1<br>2                                       |  | CITY OF COLLEGE PARK<br>MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  |
|--|--|---|
| 3  |  | WORKSHOP SESSION  |
| 4  |  | JUNE 5, 2023  |
| 5<br>6                                       |  | <u>MINUTES</u>  |
| 7  |  |   |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11                           | Present:   | Mayor Bianca Motley Broom; Councilmen Ambrose Clay, Joe Carn, Ken Allen and Roderick Gay; City Manager Stanley Hawthorne; City Attorney Winston Denmark; City Clerk Shavala Ames  |
| 12   | Absent:  | None  |
|  |  |   |
| 13   | Mayor Motley   | y Broom called the workshop session to order at 5:02 p.m.   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | ACTION:  | Councilman Clay moved to take up executive session to discuss real estate and litigation at 5:02 p.m., seconded by Councilman Carn and motion carried by those present. (Councilman Clay, Councilman Carn, and Councilman Allen)  |
| 18   | The workshop   | session reconvened at 6:08 p.m.   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26 | 6:08 p.m. The report for the presentation.  1. Presentation. | TLEY BROOM: Welcome back, we are ready to begin our workshop session; it is a first item on the agenda is the presentation of an annual comprehensive financial fiscal year that ended June 30th, 2022. I believe Mr. Moses will be heading up that an intation of the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for Fiscal Ended June 30, 2022.   |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31                   | 6:08 p.m. The  | TLEY BROOM: Welcome back, we are ready to begin our workshop session; it is e first item on the agenda is the presentation of an annual comprehensive financial fiscal year that ended June 30th, 2022. I believe Mr. Moses will be heading up that   |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38       | management a<br>you had a ch<br>document, as<br>from today a | Thank you, Mayor. Good evening to the Mayor and City Council Members, and staff. Thanks for having me here today to present the audit results. Hopefully, ance to look at the annual comprehensive financial report. I know it was a big well as the auditor's discussion and analysis. And that document I'll be presenting and kind of touching on the highlights. If you have any questions as I'm going can stop and ask, or you can save your questions to the end. We'll address your nat moment. |
| 40<br>41                                     | MAYOR MO   | TLEY BROOM: We will hold our questions until you're finished.   |
| 42<br>43<br>44                               | now in six sta   | : All right. Just a quick update about Mauldin-Jenkins. We actually have 14 offices ates, and we added our latest addition in Raleigh, North Carolina. We serve over ents, in the Southeast, and about a hundred and fifty plus of them received the  |

Workshop Session Page **1** of **29** 05/05/2023

GFOA award, in excellence in financial reporting, which includes the city of College Park.

44

Again, I was the partner responsible for the overall audit engagement, with over 24 years of experience.

This year we had Meredith Lipson to do the quality control review. And she's been with the firm over 31 years. And, of course, we had other managers and staff participating with the audit this year. Of course, the financial statements are the responsibility of management. Our responsibility is to give an opinion that the financial statements are materially correct. We've issued an unmodified, or clean opinion, which is what you would hope to get from the external audit. Our audit report did include your blended component unit, the Business, and Industrial Development Authority, BIDA. However, our opinion did not cover the DMO, the Destination Marketing Organization, which is presented in your statements as a discretely presented propone unit. Those statements were audited by other auditors, and we relied on their report.

 As far as the report, in accordance with the government auditing standards, or the yellow book report, we didn't have any findings. No material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Hats off to management and staff working very hard to make sure that the records were in good -- in accurate position for us to do the audit. As far as the report, in accordance with the uniform guidance, the single audit report, of course, any governments that receive and extend at least \$750,000 of federal awards is required to have a single audit. This year you are required to have a single audit on your COVID-19 and CDBG programs. And we issued unmodified or clean opinions on those two programs, as well. No issues with the compliance requirements that we tested for those two programs.

As far as our relationship with management, we received full cooperation from management staff and others. There were no disagreements with management on accounting issues or financial reporting matters. We did have some audit adjustments. Those adjustments are attached to the hard copy of this presentation. We didn't have any past audit adjustments, meaning, that anything came to our attention, those adjustments were made and reflected in the city's general ledger, and ultimately, in the city's financial statements. Our main thing here, I want to point out that we are independent of the city, in its financial reporting process, in accordance with AICPA professional standards. There are no fees paid to Mauldin & Jenkins for any type of management advisory services that would have impacted our independence as your external auditor.

 Next few slides going to go over some financial trends. This first slide is looking at your operating and interest revenues over the last 10 years for your electric fund, which is the city's Cash Cow fund. And then your other proprietary funds. And you see that your revenues for your electric fund increased from 36.4 million to about 42 million dollars, while your other proprietary funds revenues went from 22.3 million combined to about 33 million as of June 30, 2022. And the revenues went up, for the most part, for your other proprietary funds, going into a full operating service following the pandemic. And then your convention center revenues and GICC revenues is a little more than what it were in the prior year. And then also for your FAA project fund with the implementation of GASB 87 dealing with leases, you recognize about 2.8 million dollars of lease income in that fund, which was higher than the revenue in the previous year.

 Looking at the change in net position, or your net income and net loss for your electric fund and your other proprietary funds over the last 10 years, on page 10, you can see that your electric funds revenue did have -- I mean, your change in that position for your electric fund was 4 million in 2021. In 2022, it was about 3.3 million dollars, so a slight decrease compared to the

previous year. Now, your other proprietary funds, you had a positive change in that position in 2021 of about 8.3 million. But for 2022, you had a negative change in that position of about \$210,000. And, basically, you had larger transfers in 2021, and your convention center fund, BIDA, and GICC Arena compared to transfers in to those funds during fiscal year 2022. So that's one of the main reasons why that change in that position is much less this year compared to prior year.

This next slide is looking at your bad debt reserves for your electric fund and your water and sewer fund. You can see that your electric fund is still seeing that upward trend in those bad debt reserves, 8.3 million in 2021 and it went up to about 8.8 million dollars in 2022. While your water and sewer bad debt reserves stayed pretty much flat about 1.6 million dollars over the last few years. Looking at your fund balance over the last 10 years for your general fund and your other governmental funds. Of course, your general fund is your main operating fund. And your fund balance went from, for your general fund; 16.8 million dollars in 2021 to about 23.6 million dollars in 2022. The main reason for that increase in your fund balance is pretty much transfers in, which was about 5.6 million dollars. So if you look at your revenues compared to expenditures for your general fund, you only had about \$500,000 in excess of expenditures. So most of that increase in your fund balance came from transfers in.

And for your other governmental funds, your fund balance went from 12.2 million dollars to about 28.2 million dollars. And the reason for that increase is in your TSPLOST fund, y'all did issue about 10 million and 950 dollars -- million dollars of revenue bonds, which is shown as other financing sources. So that increased your fund balance in the TSPLOST fund. And so, that's why you're seeing that huge increase in fund balance for your other governmental funds.

This slide is looking at your fund balance as a percentage of total expenditures over the last 10 years. Of course, the good rule of thumb is two to three months reserve, or anywhere from 16 to 25 percent. And you see for general fund, you had an increase going from 59 percent in 2021 to about 80 percent in 2022; and that represents about a 9.6 month reserve. Now, if you combine all of your governmental funds, that percentage is much higher, coming in about 281 percent. But, again, that's due to your TSPLOST fund, showing about 11.4 million dollar increase in fund balance, due to the issuance of those revenue bonds.

All right. So, again, like I alluded to earlier, we didn't have any findings. No material weaknesses of significant deficiencies. We do have recommendations for improvements. This one here dealing with proprietary activities and cash flows, I always like to kind of keep repeating this one. Looking at your convention center fund, you have external, or outside, financing of about 34 million dollars, and about 4.3 million dollars of internal financing, representing advances from other funds or due to other funds. And so, but as of year end, the convention center fund reported a net loss of about 5.5 million dollars and that was before receiving transfers in at about 2.7 million. So your net position actually decreased by roughly 2.8 million dollars.

So, again, we just want you to continue monitoring your external and internal financing and make sure those advances are being paid down in accordance with the repayment plan that the council approved back in 2013. And that holds true to your BIDA, as well as the GICC Arena fund. For BIDA, you have about 72.5 million dollars of external financing in revenue bonds. And about 11.6 million dollars of internal financing represented by advances and due to other funds. Without future sales of your undeveloped property that's owned by BIDA, basically, in order to continue the cash flow assistance, you would have to receive cash flow assistance from

Workshop Session Page **3** of **29** 05/05/2023

non-BIDA sources to meet the annual financing needs for BIDA. So that includes paying down your external debt on an annual basis, plus your internal financing, as well of that 11.6 million dollars that's going to be paid back over the course of several years. So, again, as you have those land sales, in accordance with your repayment plan, that has to be used to pay down that advance. Those advances came from the general fund as well as from the electric fund.

And then the GICC Arena fund had about 32.3 million dollars of outside financing, in revenue bonds that require about 2.9 million dollars of annual debt service payments. The Arena fund had a loss of about 3.5 million dollars before transfers of about 4.1 million. But it did have a positive change in that position of about \$700,000 as a result of the transfers into that fund. So, again, continue monitoring these activities to make sure that you'd be able to repay back the external and internal financing requirements. Dealing with the customer deposits, again, another repeat, prior to you all implementing the approved cash, you did have separate bank accounts for your customer deposits, which is supposed to be restricted. When you went to a pool cash account, all of that was pooled into one bank account for the most part. And so, you no longer have separate bank accounts for your customer deposits. And so, we continue to make that recommendation that those should not be commingled with your operating funds.

Then the last management point is on capital assets during our testing of your finance purchases as it relates to debt, we notice that asset side of this was not captured in your detail capital assets for your governmental activities. And so, we just recommend as you're bringing on the debt and if it's used to purchase assets, make sure that's being picked up on the other side as well into your detail capital assets listings. You know, gas continues to be very busy with the new GASB standards. We implemented GASBA, or the city implemented GASB 87 this year for June 2022 that relates to leases. And so, we had to scrub all of your agreements to make sure that everything was captured. We did have leases as the city as a lessor, and so that's been recognized in your financial statements. You'll see these receivables deferred in flows of resources pertaining to those receivables and recognizing that revenue as well in the current period. We didn't have any leases as the city being the lessee. Those amounts that we did find were immaterial, so those were not reported, in your financial statements.

GASB 96, which deals with subscription based information technology arrangements, that's applicable for the current year that you're in, 2023; very similar to GASB 87 dealing with leases. So if you have an iCloud subscription that you're utilizing, you would have to recognize that liability, and plus a right to use asset in your financial statements. And so, that would be applicable for the current year that you're in.

 And the last thing I want to talk about, we continue to offer free continued education classes for our clients free of charge. Since the pandemic, we've been doing it virtually. It's been, I think, our clients like the virtual aspect of that. And so, we try to do at least four a year. During the pandemic and after the pandemic, with the influx of a lot of federal dollars from the government, with all the complexities we had more than just four. And I think at one time we had six classes' just on federal grants and the complexities of those programs. And so, you all have participated in those classes, and we appreciate the city management staff for attending those classes. But if anybody else wants to be added to that list, just let me know and we'll make sure you get that invite; but that concludes my presentation. Again, it was an honor and pleasure providing service to the city, and we look forward to this upcoming year, which starts pretty soon.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you, Mr. Moses. Councilman Clay?

194 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Yes, I've got several questions. First off, on the page where you talked about bad debt reserve.

196

197 MR. MOSES: Yes, sir.

198

199 COUNCILMAN CLAY: That's an accumulative reserve?

200

201 MR. MOSES: Yes, it is.

202

203 COUNCILMAN CLAY: So since we'll, probably, never recover that reserve, what does that really mean for our financial position?

205206

207

208

209210

211

212213

MR. MOSES: So right now, you know, this is offsetting the revenues. And so, I mean, I believe in the past, if I recall correctly, prior management did not want to write that off. And I think at one time you all were using a collection agency to try to collect on some of those delinquent accounts. So, I mean, it's kind of up to you all as the governing body if you wanted to try to go after them, or just write it off and no longer report it at all. So right now, it's just a conjure account against your receivables. And so, it's not being -- your statements are not being grossed up. So, again, it's offsetting your receivable amount. It has to be a cleanup for -- if the city were to write it off, then they don't have to keep up with those old delinquent accounts. You just look at what you have delinquent as the current year

214215216

217

218

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Does it make us more financially attractive? We're never going to get that money back, most of it. It keeps getting bigger ever year, and we've had this discussion before. Does it, at some point, make us look better from a bond rating or other position if we're not continuing to carry that reserve?

219220

MR. MOSES: Probably so, because if you look in the footnotes, you have to disclose what that allowance is. And so, if someone is, you know, looking at your notes and seeing that amount continues to grow, they're comparing it to the previous year, you know, that might be alarming to them. So if you all decide to just go ahead and write it off and then you won't have that to deal with.

226

227 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Not necessarily write everyone off, but debt that isn't more than two years old, let's say.

229

230 MR. MOSES: Right --

231

232 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Or something like that.

233

234 MR. MOSES: Correct.

235

236 COUNCILMAN CLAY: But would that improve our financial qualifications and possibly improve our bond rating, could it?

238

MR. MOSES: I mean, it won't affect the bottom line, I mean. Again, it's already reflected as reducing your revenues, you know. So every time it goes up again, it reduces your receivable and revenue, so it won't improve your bottom line, but, I mean, I don't know how much it would go

up, you know, as far as your bond rating if they see that, you know, you've written that off and now you have a much smaller allowance for that

244

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Okay.

245246

MR. MOSES: Now, if you're able to collect it, of course, you know, that, of course, that will increase your revenue.

249

250 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Okay. I didn't see how it would, but I thought I'd give it a try. How many months if our revenue sources dried up totally, we're talking about reserve, how many months of reserve do we have as of this report?

253

MR. MOSES: Are you talking about for your general fund or --

255

256 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Let's do both. Let's talk general fund first. I think you said, what --

257

MR. MOSES: 9.6 months, that's a snapshot in time. That doesn't mean that you're at that amount every month throughout the year.

260

261 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Pardon?

262

MR. MOSES: It's a snapshot in time, so that's just as of June 30th. It doesn't mean that you have -- if you looked at October, you know, it would be a different amount.

265

266 COUNCILMAN CLAY: For sure. But it's at the end of the year, which you need to pick some milestone at some point. So you're saying we have about nine months of reserve?

268

269 MR. MOSES: Yes.

270

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Now, if you take into account the city as a whole, and assuming legal transfers, legal transfers between accounts, because some money is restricted; right? You just can't transfer it back and forth.

274

275 MR. MOSES: Right.

276

277 COUNCILMAN CLAY: But if we were to pool all of our reserve amongst funds, how long could the city operate?

279

MR. MOSES: I would have to run that calculation for you. I don't know off the -- I've never calculated that.

282

283 COUNCILMAN CLAY: But, presumably, it'd be greater than nine months?

284

MR. MOSES: Yes. Now, you kind do that looking at your governmental funds. And on that one slide, I talked about that percentage being 280 and something percent. But that's inflated the cost because of the issuance of those bonds, in the amount about 11 million dollars. So that's kinda inflated a little bit, the cost of that. Because you can only use that money for your TSPLOST projects. You can't use that to cover payroll.

291 COUNCILMAN CLAY: And that's why I said, some money is restricted.

292

293 MR. MOSES: Yes.

294

295 COUNCILMAN CLAY: But the operational cost is in the general fund for the most part.

296

297 MR. MOSES: Correct.

298

299 COUNCILMAN CLAY: So my question really is, if you take the money that's in the reserve of 300 the general fund and you add to it what is transferable from other funds, and take into account of 301 our debt, obviously, we have to pay debt on the land that we're still trying to sell, how much 302 reserve do you think we would have?

303 304

MR. MOSES: Again, it depends. Let's say, for instance, y'all decide not to repay the advance, or BIDA decided not to repay --

306

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Pay back what?

307 308 309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316317

318

305

MR. MOSES: The advance of the 11 million dollars. If you said, we're just going to write that off. We want to use future land sales to buy more property, and we're just going to write off the advance of the 11 million dollars, which I can't remember the amount that the general fund is owed, being that percentage would come down significantly. Because, actually -- and that's how I would, actually, look at it. Because that advance has -- I mean, I know you had some land sales in the current year. I think some of that was used to pay down that advance that BIDA has. But I know it's been a large amount for the last several years. And right now -- so the general fund is owed about -- advance about 5 or 6 million. And then you had about 2 million dollars too that's owed from the electric. So you're right, about 8 million. So if you were to write off that amount, if that's not collectible, then that percentage would be much lower; that 9.6 month reserve would be much lower.

319 320

321 COUNCILMAN CLAY: So actual money that we could tap into without having to sell land, et cetera, to get that money, how much reserve would we have then?

323

MR. MOSES: If you didn't have to tap into that?

324 325

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Well, I'm just saying, if all revenue stopped, which means, we're not going to sell the land. And we have to still make the debt payment on it, how long could we operate?

329

MR. MOSES: I mean, I would still say that nine month reserve is --

330 331

332 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Okay.

333

MR. MOSES: I'll say this too; if you look at your fund balance in the general fund, it's very liquid. A lot of times when people look at fund balance, they say that's cash; and a lot of times, that's not. But, in your case, even if you just look at your unassigned fund balance and the general fund, which is about 16 million, and then you compare that to your cash and cash equivalence, with the general fund at June 30th, that's about 17.3 million dollars. So your unassigned fund balances are really liquid, really over a hundred percent. So that's how I would

- 340 kinda like at it. When looking at your, you know, fund balance as a percentage of total
- expenditures, which is good, 9.6 months reserve. And then also compared to liquidity, looking at
- your cash at year end. So that shows that general fund is pretty liquid when you look at it like
- 343 that.

345 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Last question.

346

347 MR. MOSES: Okay.

348

- COUNCILMAN CLAY: Every year, not every year, but on several occasions, we've asked you the question, how do we compare with other cities in Georgia, as far as our fund balance position
- and our financial strength?

352

MR. MOSES: I mean, just looking at that percentage, we look at the fund balance as a percentage of expenditures.

355

356 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Right.

357

MR. MOSES: And looking at the liquidity of your fund balance, I know some of that has transfers in; it's pretty strong compared to others. I mean, I would say –

360

361 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Top 10 percent?

362 363

364

365

MR. MOSES: Don't quote me on that. I mean, I'll put it this way, I have some clients that reserves are much less than nine months, I'll put it that way. And some of them have to rely on a tax anticipation note to get them through the subsequent months, until property tax revenues come in.

366 367

COUNCILMAN CLAY: I just -- the reason I brought that up is because I know several years 368 ago, we enjoyed -- I've been here for a while -- several years ago, we enjoyed a very enviable 369 position as far as other cities in Georgia as to our financial strength. And then came COVID, and 370 I just wanted to say that I think it is a testimony to our staff, and to the management of the 371 Council, as well, but I credit the staff, primarily, for getting us through the COVID years. And I 372 think of what we did to reduce expenses. I think of some of the things we did at the convention 373 center, for example, and the Arena and what have you. And I just want to give a tribute to the 374 staff for the job that I think they have done. And I think it is absolutely remarkable and I know 375 we're not through the June 30th of this year, but based on everything I've seen, my gut feeling is 376 that we're going to be in a better financial position at the end of this fiscal year. 377

378

MR. MOSES: I would think so.

379 380

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Again, I think that's a real tribute to our staff here, and I thank 'em.

382

383 MR. MOSES: Yeah, I agree completely.

384

385 COUNCILMAN CLAY: That's all I had.

386

387 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you. Councilman Carn?

- 389 COUNCILMAN CARN: All right. Thanks for coming out. I've got quite a few questions. Most
- 390 of them are off the work packet here and not this, that I'm just seeing, which is good. I guess,
- first, how many years have you done our CAFR? 391

393 MR. MOSES: Probably since maybe 2007, 2008.

394

- 395 COUNCILMAN CARN: So that's -- it's '23; what is that? You're the finance guy, how many
- years is that? Why am I doing the work? What's that, 16, 17 years, something like that? All right; 396
- 397 16 years. Couple of things; I guess I'll jump around a little bit. I thought we still utilized some
- type of collection agency, at least in terms of delinquent utility accounts? Did I hear we're not 398
- 399 doing that anymore?

400

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: We are. 401

402

403 COUNCILMAN CARN: I thought I heard we weren't doing that.

404

405 MR. MOSES: I couldn't remember if you were still using it or not.

406

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: We are. 407

408

- 409 COUNCILMAN CARN: So we are; and I had a subsequent question. Delinquent sanitation
- accounts, do we go after them -- I know we go after electric customers. 410

411

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: All utilities. 412

413

414 COUNCILMAN CARN: Same deal?

415

416 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Yes.

417

- 418 COUNCILMAN CARN: Okay. Well, that's good to know. All of the information in the back of
- your report, I guess, were stats, and whatever have you? 419

420

421 MR. MOSES: In the financial statements?

422

- COUNCILMAN CARN: In this loose leaf piece here. I guess, I'm asking, where did you get that 423
- data from? I'm assuming, did we just give you the data? 424 425

426 MR. MOSES: You're talking about these in the very back?

427

428 COUNCILMAN CARN: Essentially, yeah, some of it -- yes, the schedules and different stuff.

429

MR. MOSES: This came from the city; it's audited information with the statistical section. 430

431

COUNCILMAN CARN: So we gave y'all this information? 432

433

434 MR. MOSES: Yes.

- 436 COUNCILMAN CARN: That answers that question. Couple of things, and, Althea, I guess some
- 437 of this is for you as well. Packet page 110, for instance, this is about the ARPA special revenue

- funds and the whole nine. I just at some point would like to see an itemized breakdown of the
- ARPA funds. I understand the vast majority of that went to, you know, supplementary salary for
- 440 first responders and the whole nine.

442 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: All of it.

443

444 COUNCILMAN CARN: So it was 100 percent?

445

446 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: 100 percent.

447

448 COUNCILMAN CARN: Okay. That answers that question. I asked how long have you been with us, and I know it's been a long time. I can't hardly recall the last time that I have seen a recommendation for an audit of any particular department.

451

452 MR. MOSES: Like an operational audit.

453

454 COUNCILMAN CARN: Forensic audit.

455

MR. MOSES: I did talk with management about that, we do have an advisory practice, and that 456 457 we do have several of our clients that have engaged in doing an operational audit. For instance, 458 like the city of Forest Park, they got a new city manager, this was a couple years ago. He wanted to have a forensic audit done. They agreed to have an operational audit done. And they engaged 459 David Roberts, who's the partner that leads our advisory practice. They conducted that 460 operational assessment and it went over very well, from the governing body, all the way to 461 management, had over 300 recommendations and a map on how those recommendation can be 462 implemented. 463

464

COUNCILMAN CARN: But we have not?

465 466

MR. MOSES: No. I think you had -- they did do a -- the City of College Park did utilize David Robertson, his staff, to do an operational audit of the Recreation Department.

469 470

471

472

473

474

475

COUNCILMAN CARN: But we haven't gotten an audit recommendation from you guys. And a lot of this I say, City Manager, for your understanding, in terms of what we got, where we've been. I know it's a long time with one outfit. And don't get me wrong, you know, you've done a great job with us; it's been a long-term partnership. But one of the things I worry about, you know, you get older, you get a little wiser. And it becomes nothing personal. I think everybody's done a great job. We pay you good money to do the service; you do the service. But, at the end of the day, my obligation is to the taxpayers and making sure we're covering the bases.

476 477 478

479

480

481

And 16 years, not one recommendation for an audit, for a look, for a look. And I'm not trying to cast aspersions or anything like that, but at the same time, you know, I start to wonder about a financial situation, or a CAFR or anything else that -- every year, we come across with flying colors consistently. I guess that's a good thing, no material weaknesses cited, no compliance issues cited.

482 483

484 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: We had –

485

486 COUNCILMAN CARN: I hear you. It's amazing, and it's a good thing, I guess, in a sense that

487 we, consistently, pass with flying colors. And on the face, it's good news; I get that, and it is.

But underneath the surface, I guess you can say -- and this is just me, I'm looking for problems.

I'm looking for issues. Don't get me wrong, College Park is a great city. But, I guess, after a 489

while, if you have the same eyes on the same thing for long enough, you're not going to see 490 491

something that fresh eyes would see. I'm just going to be frank with that. And that's just the truth

with any situation. 492

493 494

495

496 497

498 499

488

And, again, this is nothing personal to you. But I'm looking for issues. And maybe we're just spotless and there's just nothing, everything is that, 100 percent excellent; but I'm not so sure about that necessarily. And it's nothing where, you know, if you have the same people looking at the same thing for enough years, you get into a pattern of whatnot; not to say that you've gotten into that. But when we do these things, I'm looking for problems, you know. If we had a forensic audit, would it be as clean as this CAFR? I don't know; I'm not a financial person. But all I'm saying, City Manager, I'm hoping that you're hearing me.

500 501

MR. HAWTHORNE: I am.

502 503 504

505

506 507

508 509 COUNCILMAN CARN: I'm looking for problems and there's nothing wrong with that; and consistent flying colors is great. But at the same time, I've been around long enough to know that, I think at some point we want to put some fresh eyes on things. And, again, this is nothing personal at all. But you've done enough of these budgets, which I have, not to mention the two in Fulton County, which was a real eye opener in terms of audit and things that you look for that you may not normally want to include or assume. And I'm not talking to you directly, but, Stanley, I think you hear where I'm coming from.

510 511

MR. HAWTHORNE: I do.

512 513

COUNCILMAN CARN: All right. A couple of other questions I, actually, had about some of the 514 internals here. 515

516

MR. MOSES: This was the first year that the city didn't have any findings; we reported a lot of 517 findings in the past. 518

519 520

521

522

523

524

525

COUNCILMAN CARN: We have had findings, but in terms of the deficiencies, these things; material weaknesses, these type of things I'm just -- I'm happy, but there's some, in the back of my head, there's some moment of worry in that, as well. You can be happy about something, but nervous at the same time, I guess, more or less. I guess paranoid, whatever you want to call it. There was one issue here, and this stuff is pretty small to read. I had my magnifying glass out for this stuff. The current fund balance, can you give me that again, just the current fund balance and then current liquidity, without the transfers? You touched on one of those numbers.

526 527

MR. MOSES: All right. For your general fund, your total fund balance was about 23.6 million 528 529 dollars. And what I would do is look at your unassigned fund balance, which is about 16.7 million. 530

531

532 COUNCILMAN CARN: That's without transfers in?

533

534 MR. MOSES: Well, that's including transfers in.

536 COUNCILMAN CARN: What about without transfers in?

537

MR. MOSES: You just back out 5.6 million you had transfers in, so just say about 11 million.

539

540 COUNCILMAN CARN: And then current liquidity?

541

MR. MOSES: It's about, cash about 17.3 million.

543

544 COUNCILMAN CARN: 17.3.

545

MR. MOSES: Which would be still more than your unassigned fund balance.

547

COUNCILMAN CARN: I didn't know exactly where I would find those three numbers in this packet.

550

MR. MOSES: Page 19 and page 20 of your --

552

553 COUNCILMAN CARN: In the spiral?

554

555 MR. MOSES: Yes, the big one.

556

557 COUNCILMAN CARN: Which one? Page what? Oh, the one I have. Page 19.

558

MR. MOSES: The bottom of page 19 and page 20.

560 561

561 COUNCILMAN CARN: Okay. That's all I have for now. 562

- -

563 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Allen?

564

COUNCILMAN ALLEN: First of all, thank you very much for being here and the work that you do. I know it's long and it's tedious. And, Althea, your group, also for working with them, so much that you do. I've been involved with budgets and financing for over 12 years with one company. And so, there's a lot of numbers to dig into and I appreciate all the hard work that you do, and I appreciate, Althea, your whole team does a great job. So, and to not have anything, I appreciate it. And we have had some in the past. I remember some of those, so again, thank you very much. I don't have any questions on it. I appreciate the job you do.

572

573 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Gay?

574

575 COUNCILMAN GAY: Hey, Mr. Moses, I don't really have any questions, but I do, you know, as well, concur with Council member Carn that, it may not hurt to sometimes deviate and have a second look at the numbers, just for anything, just for --

578

MR. MOSES: And I'll make one comment to that. I had another client that suggested something like that, as well. And, again, you're free to pick another audit firm. But we're big enough that we can change up the entire engagement team, from the partner all the way down to the lower staff and not have anyone -- and actually have a different office to do it, as well. So that's always an option if you're wanting to rotate auditors without necessarily switching firms. So that's always an option, as well. But I always have a different partner to do the quality control review, and we

did have some new staff on this audit this past year, as well.

587 COUNCILMAN GAY: Do you know if Tylus Paran do audits?

589 MR. MOSES: Who?

591 COUNCILMAN GAY: Deloitte and --

593 MR. MOSES: Deloitte and Touche, yes, they do.

595 COUNCILMAN GAY: They do?

MR. MOSES: Uh-huh. But they don't do any Georgia governmental audits.

599 COUNCILMAN GAY: Okay.

601 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you so much, Mr. Moses. We appreciate your time.

603 MR. MOSES: Thank you all, as well.

## 2. Residential Electric Rate Update.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Next item on the agenda is a conversation on residential electric rates.

MR. RICHARDSON: Good evening, Mayor and Council members. 364 days ago, I gave you a presentation on our rates and how they stack up to the other 93 power companies in Georgia. And I'm here to give you a rerun of that presentation with a few changes. But I'll make it quick. Just to set this up. Let's look at the history of our residential rates going back to 2011. The last time we had an increase of the actual rate was in January of that year, less than 2 percent. I think it was around 1.6. And we also moved the power cost adjustment to a franchise fee. And we also -- that was the year we added the senior rate. It's waived at that time was a \$7.80 base charge.

Then in 2016, we increased the base charge to 7.80 to \$10. But we made up for that by reducing the rate by 3.4 percent, which made the senior rates go down even more about 6.4, leaving the power cost adjustment to zero. And then the last rate change we did was November of last year where we reduced the senior rate by another 10 percent. This is a little bit of a history of our power cost adjustment. And I won't go through every line, but you can see in 2011, I was talking about where we moved the power cost adjustment, January 2011 from PCA to the franchise. And the franchise, of course, is a collection that goes directly to general fund.

We started ramping up a little bit. But what happened was, we had a perfect storm of things to happen in 2017, January 2017. We had a contract default. And then our trust fund depleted in December 2018. That was a credit we were getting on our monthly bills until the trust fund depleted. And then, in March 2020, we got back the 15 megawatts that we had sold to another city; that contract by that default on a contract. Total of all those three is around 9 million dollars. So we had to do a power cost adjustment to make up for that.

I know Ed Wall calls it a rate increase. I don't call it a rate increase. Rate increase, to me, is if you have to increase the local rates to operate the power department. So that basically was the increase to get back our cost of power. A little bit on rate design. Everybody pretty much has a break point in the residential rates, and ours is 500 kilowat hours. And that covers the first usage of appliances, lighting, and water heaters. And anything over that typically is heating or cooling. So in the summer, we have a higher rate, because that's the typical time of the year that generates our peak and our cost of power for the next year. So the prior signal, hey, it could cost more in the summer. In the winter, we have our break. It goes down, because we want to compete with gas/heat and we want to reward those that use electric heat.

 A little bit of information here, every month usage in the summer is 812 kilowatt-hours per month, and 823 per month in the winter. We have 7,400 in residential consumers/customers, including 417 seniors. Those are last year's numbers. That number now is more like close to 7700 residential consumers and a little over 500 seniors. So our current rate in place is the base charge of \$10, 8.8 cents kilowatt-hour for the first 500. But over 500 in the summer, it goes way up to 12-1/2 cents. That's May through October. In the winter, it goes down to 7.8 cents over 500 for your heating, November through April. Plus we have a franchise fee, plus you have the power cost adjustment of 2.9. There is a public service commission survey that's published twice a year. They call it winter/summer. Typically, January for winter, July for the summer. And instead of listing all 94 power companies, I made up these columns here.

These kilowatt-hour level that they have in the survey; 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000. And I rank them 1 to 94, 1 being the highest power company in Georgia, 94 being the lowest. And here's how we fit in that list. The line going across the horizontal is the median line, around number 47. So for winter, the rates look pretty good. We're below average or right at median at 2000 kilowatt-hour. So I think overall, average at a thousand with 3.4 percent below average. But the issue is this summer survey. At 500 kilowatt-hour, we look good. But when you're getting into the heating and cooling cost over that, we're near the top. We're just number 9 from the highest, at a thousand, number 7 for the highest at 1500 and 2000.

I think I told you last year, if we had a wishing lamp and we could wish that these rates were lower, here's what we'd like to see them to go at that level, the level in the summer. And that's for basically your cooling cost into a more competitive range, more near the median of these levels. Basically, I don't think we'll need a lamp. I think we can do it, and I got in our regular session because of the rate change to get us to this point of 9th to 29th from the highest, a thousand. And a thousand is more like toward our average, so I looked more at our kilowatt-hour when I do that. And, of course, the same almost holds true for 1500 and 2000. In case you want to know, if you go back to the summer survey, the senior rates are not on the survey, but if you put the senior rate, on the summer survey at a thousand, it would rank number 48, right at the median. If you put the summer rate on the winter, it would rank 93, second from the lowest in the winter. So the winter rate for seniors excellent. So we have no issues with the seniors. We have no issue with the winter rates in general. So the proposal in the general session would be to change the rates to get us to that level, and change the senior rate to keep that 10 percent reduction after the change. That's basically it.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you, Mr. Richardson. Questions? We'll start with Councilman Clay.

COUNCILMAN CLAY: I think this is great. That's a great opportunity to help our citizens.

682 Things have gone up all over. This is one thing that's coming down, at least in College Park. And I asked you a couple of questions, which I don't copy everybody on. But I like the fact that you 683 684 pointed out that this is going to be recovered, essentially, because of the new houses that have gone up, and are going up, and will continue to go up into the next year and so forth. So that's 685 really good news. And just for the benefit for people that are listening in, we contract for so 686 much power from MEAG. And we either have to use it and sell it to ourselves, or sell it to 687 688 somebody else. And as you pointed out, that I had forgotten, when we sell it to somebody else through MEAG, anyhow, we don't get market rate, we get the wholesale rate. We don't get the 689 690 retail rate, I should say, we get the wholesale rate. So I think this is really the right thing to do and it's a great benefit for living in College Park. 691

692 693

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you, Councilman Clay. Councilman Carn?

694 695

696

697

COUNCILMAN CARN: All right. Thank you, Hugh. Obviously, I think this is going in the right direction, of course. We don't get a whole lot of trouble out of your department. It's not just because you got the biggest pockets compared to your colleagues; I guess, we all borrow from you. Are we selling any supplemental at all to any other cities currently?

698 699 700

MR. RICHARDSON: It is through the market rate sale. MEAG sells them to other cities first before they go outside of the system, but we don't have any contracts.

701 702 703

COUNCILMAN CARN: We don't do the surplus contracts?

704 705

MR. RICHARDSON: We don't have any contracts.

706 707

COUNCILMAN CARN: Is there any potential of that on the horizon at all, or do you think that's something that's --

708 709 710

MR. RICHARDSON: I don't think so, because they're going at the market rate or lower and I wouldn't want to do that.

711 712

COUNCILMAN CARN: All right. Couple of things I noticed in your piece here. The rate design considerations, when we talked about encouraging electric heat over gas. You're probably aware we're looking at overhauling the apartment codes and ordinances, complete multi-family redo.

Do you think if we mandated all electric for multi-family, how much do you think that would benefit us? I don't know what percentage of multi-family is gas. And, again, I'm only speaking to the larger units, not the quad-plexes or duplexes and stuff like that. At some point, I'd like to see what the percentage is. I'm assuming it's vastly majority electric; of course?

720 721

MR. RICHARDSON: It is.

722 723

724

COUNCILMAN CARN: But I would like to know what remains and if they converted over, how much is left to convert over, you know, if we're 85 percent, whatever. So I don't know who can do that survey, but somebody -- City Manager probably needs to look at that.

725 726

MR. RICHARDSON: I think I sent you, Councilman Carn, a list of all of our apartments one time. And I notated which ones still have gas heat. They have Rugby Valley is all pretty much all gas heat.

- 731 COUNCILMAN CARN: If you can send that again. I'd like to take a look at that. And this is just
- in the future, you know, after we take a look at what we want to do and we want to change.
- Packet page 157, if you can explain to me again why we rank so much higher in the summer
- rates here. I see under 500 kilowatts, we're number 1 and we're number 1, 500 kilowatts in the
- 735 winter; right?

737 MR. RICHARDSON: No, sir.

738

739 COUNCILMAN CARN: Am I looking at this --

740

741 MR. RICHARDSON: You're looking at it wrong.

742

COUNCILMAN CARN: Oh, I'm sorry, okay, 54. This is like really dark green. I'm having trouble. I see it now; okay. So we're still, I guess, somewhere in the middle here?

745

746 MR. RICHARDSON: Actually, we're below average.

747

748 COUNCILMAN CARN: We're below average, right, right, because the lowest is 44.

749

750 MR. RICHARDSON: 94.

751

COUNCILMAN CARN: 94. Wow, this is really hard to see. Okay. The other issue that I wanted to definitely discuss and, City Manager, I know you are just getting here as well, can you just touch on the other potential data mining deal land acquisition, and just the broad ramifications of what that could potentially mean in terms of we're going to do it, if we're able to do it, what is going to take to do it?

757

MR. RICHARDSON: Well, we're looking at one site next to our Edison station. And I just talked MEAG Power today and they are going to proceed with installing another transformer there. That make take a while because of delivery. So we have a pretty good location right next to the station to sell land, and for the data center to purchase it. I'm still pushing that. I'm still pushing that. We can do some temporary serving of them right away. But where they want to go, we're going to need another transformer.

But as far as revenue, I think we're getting away or we're going to stay away from the guaranteed market rate. So what we're doing now is going to a market rate plus an adder. And the adders is what we're going to make our revenue from.

767 768

769 770 COUNCILMAN CARN: And, Stanley, at some point, I really -- I'm hoping y'all are going to delve into this thing where you could get a really full realistic assessment of what we're talking about here. Correct me if I'm wrong, but we're talking potentially one outfit covering, close to the amount, a great majority of the city's usage; is that right?

771 772

MR. RICHARDSON: I mean, yeah, you could possibly say you double what we already got out there.

- 776 COUNCILMAN CARN: And I hope you're hearing me. One entity on a spot that would generate
- the revenue that would almost double our revenues. And we're contemplating selling this land.

  And if it were me, I'd be -- selling the land wouldn't be an issue if we're talking about that type of
- revenue potential, but that's neither here nor there. But I think it's something we need to sit down

and either put in a workshop at some point. Because I think it's serious enough and if we're able to do something like that, the type of savings we could pass along if we wanted to, or whatever else we wanted to do, it would open up the doors for it. So, I mean, I'm glad to see where we at. Last thing I know Georgia Power, they did that second tier increase, I think. Has that kicked in yet, the second one?

MR. RICHARDSON: I don't know it has, but I got some numbers if you want to hear them.

788 COUNCILMAN CARN: You know I do.

MR. RICHARDSON: They're going up pretty big. Yeah, I mean, I'm seeing summer about a 16 percent increase and winter, about 11 percent.

COUNCILMAN CARN: And, City Manager, we've always generally been 10 percent less than Georgia Power for the same amount of kilowatt-hours. But now, we're going to go beyond that the way it looks.

MR. RICHARDSON: We're going in the opposite direction is what's going to happen.

COUNCILMAN CARN: And when we talk about this, you know, we had the financial -- the audit, we're talking about selling land. We're talking about all these things. The different advantages in things that we're able to offer that aren't going to be in an appraisal. That aren't going to be when someone's acquiring land when you're going to build here, you're building at 15 percent less for utilities, you know. There are a lot of other things that are in the mix where we can't undercut ourselves and undervalue our worth, because there are other factors that make us more valuable to someone building. It's a lot of stuff to just keep in mind. All right. Good deal. Sounds like good news.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Allen?

 COUNCILMAN ALLEN: Just a couple of things. I think this is fantastic that you've done this to offset these rates, and the senior rate, we were mentioning a minute ago. If a senior doesn't know how to do it, they can go to our website and find out how to make sure that they get the senior rate. So if there's any seniors listening, or in the audience, that want to get that reduced rate, go to the website and find out what you need to do to apply for that rate. That's all I've got.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Gay?

COUNCILMAN GAY: Hugh, I just had one question. We agreed to give the seniors, who own their homes, the amount of property tax that they would pay, offset their utility bill. Have you gotten with finance to discuss that?

MR. RICHARDSON: That would be an Althea question, if she wants to answer that.

824 COUNCILMAN GAY: Is that going to be something y'all gonna merge together; this rate?

826 MR. RICHARDSON: As far as the dollar, we got --

828 COUNCILMAN GAY: She's shaking her head, and I don't know what that means.

MR. RICHARDSON: That means no.

831

COUNCILMAN GAY: Has that kicked in yet? Because we're not going to have the senior tax 832 833 exemption on this November's ballot, we agreed to vote pass that the seniors that have homestead would be tax exempt for the amount of their credit against their utility. Had that 834 835 kicked in?

836 837

838 839

840

841 842

843

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: No, it hasn't. And it won't until August because the attorney's office is bringing a resolution before you on June 20th to basically approve that. Because you all made a motion so, basically, this would put it on paper, as far as the approval. And I'm working with the Communications Department to be able to put the application online. And we're going to target the month of July and we're going to, basically, target those seniors that may qualify, based on what we have in the system, showing their ages and telling them to apply. So we're going to be advertising for the month of July. And then, we're going to start taking applications in August.

844 845

846 COUNCILMAN GAY: All right. Thank you.

847

848 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Ms. Philord-Bradley, I had a couple of questions, because I want 849 to make sure that we're clear about this. Because it's not every senior who owns a home.

850

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Correct. 851

852

853 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: There are income requirements in regard to that?

854 855

856

857

858

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Income requirements, yes. And this will be a test for us, as far as next year, when we actually do provide the senior tax exemption on those that are homestead and those that qualify. Because they'll still have to fill out the application. And we're trying to give them the ability to fill the information online, and submit those documents online, to support their eligibility.

859 860 861

862

863

COUNCILMAN GAY: I agree. A lot of my Ward 4 seniors are still thinking that they're going to fall under the governor's tax exemption bill that was not put on the ballot. Be clear that there is an income requirement, because they're still thinking that they're going to get it without any means test, just because of their age.

864 865

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Yeah, and they have to be homesteaded and own their home as 866 well. So all those still apply. 867

868

869 COUNCILMAN GAY: Thank you.

870 871

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: You're welcome. 872

COUNCILMAN CARN: Althea, I thought we set that up a couple of months ago? 873 874

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: But we still have to go through the process of identifying those 875 folks that actually qualify for the exemption. We still have to adopt the ordinances. Just not 876 877 everybody comes in, you know, fills out -- because you all threw it on us at the last minute, we didn't know that was something that we were going to take on. But we are trying to work through the process so it's not, you know --

880

881 COUNCILMAN CARN: I thought that it was the same, exact, identical, set of folks that qualified --

883

884 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: No.

885

886 COUNCILMAN CARN: -- that have qualified under the others? Another set of qualifications?

887 888

889

890

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: It's the same set of qualifications for the senior tax exemption, and those that fall under the disabled guidelines. It's not the same. As far as the utility credit, or the utility discount that the seniors are receiving, the only requirement that you have to be 65 years of age. Now with the senior tax exemption, there are multiple requirements to be eligible for that.

891 892

893 COUNCILMAN CARN: This was the placeholder in lieu of it not happening this year.

894

895 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Correct.

896

897 COUNCILMAN CARN: I thought it was going to be the same criteria.

898

MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: It is. It's different from those that are currently receiving a discount. It's just the basic 65 years of age, versus you have to be homesteaded. Because what we're doing is use those that qualify, we would use their 2022 tax bill amount, and that's what they would be credited on as far as the city's portion.

903

COUNCILMAN CARN: Okay. So we prorated that to a year, or the beginning of this year. Is it just going start in August only and just four months of it?

906

907 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: No, no, no. Its total amount. The total amount. They'll be credited the total amount based on what property tax they paid for the city portion.

909

910 COUNCILMAN CARN: Got it.

911 912

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you very much. We'll move on to the discussion of the consent agenda. The first item is consideration of emergency replacement rolling steel door for Exhibit Hall C at the GICC. Questions for Ms. Miller on this one, Councilman Clay?

914915916

913

3. Discussion of the Consent Agenda.

917 918

A. Motion to approve Emergency Replacement of Rolling Steel Door for Exhibit Hall C at the Georgia International Convention Center

919 920 921

B. Motion to approve Audio Visual Services for the Georgia International Convention Center

922 923

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Yeah, I have a question for Mercedes, and I copied her on it, but I never saw a response. And I don't know whether I got it, because I got a lot of responses. So if I missed it, I apologize.

928 MS. MILLER: I did not get a question. I looked for your question all day yesterday, but I did not get one.

930

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Okay. Well, my question was, I said, was the door damaged by an accident, or did a component fail just due to being worn out? And why can't it be repaired as opposed to replacing the whole thing?

934 935

936 937

938

939

MS. MILLER: The door failed because a component stopped working. The doors are old, and the door just kinda collapsed. This is the second door we've had to replace. It could not be repaired. We had them to come out and look at it and it could not be repaired. Those doors are 20 plus years old and it was just time to get a new door there. It was an emergency repair, because if we didn't get the door repaired, we would not be able to rent those halls separately. Because the client has to have a way to move into the exhibit halls and through the roll up doors.

940 941 942

COUNCILMAN CLAY: That's all I had.

943

944 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Clay, since Ms. Miller is also handling item 3(b), do you have questions on AV services?

946 947

COUNCILMAN CLAY: 3(b) which is what?

948 949

949 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: That's Onsite, the recommendation of staff for Onsite.

950

951 COUNCILMAN CLAY: No. I didn't have a question on that. These guys have been doing a fantastic job for us forever. And I think it's just an essential part of maintaining the quality of the service that Mercedes provides. Plus, they're used to doing -- this is big job.

954

MS. MILLER: That and we have to remember that our audio/visual, they're not required to use them. But they're the preferred vendor. So customers do have the choice of bringing in their own. But we make money from them using the in-house audio/visual.

958

COUNCILMAN CLAY: They must appear to the customer as to be quite competitive for the rates that you're charging?

961

962 MS. MILLER: Correct.

963

964 COUNCILMAN CLAY: So it's a win for everybody.

965

MS. MILLER: Yes.

966 967

968 COUNCILMAN CLAY: I have no other questions.

969

970 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Carn, questions on either 3(a) or 3(b)?

971

972 COUNCILMAN CARN: I got questions on both. I guess I'll start with the AV. It'd be great to see kind of -- I'm assuming most customers go back and use the recommended outfit Onsite; 974 right?

976 MS. MILLER: Well, it'll be hard to calculate that because we don't have the same customers 977 every year. But I did list in my information the revenues, the history of the revenues from the AV

978 company.

980 COUNCILMAN CARN: So overall, most people are satisfied with --

982 MS. MILLER: Uh-huh.

COUNCILMAN CARN: -- what they get? And, obviously, we do a lot of repeat outfits that come every year. They're pretty consistent with the same ones, they don't say, oh, I didn't like it this time, I'm going to go with another --

MS. MILLER: Usually, if a company wants to go outside, again, it's a preferred; it's not a requirement. Once they get a cost from the outside, they end up using the inside vendor. Because if you go on the outside, we still have to charge you for a rigging supervisor if you're hanging stuff in the ceiling to protect the building.

993 COUNCILMAN CARN: So 90 percent of your folks generally -- would that be safe to say 80 percent?

MS. MILLER: I don't want to give a safe number. I'll get one and give it to you.

COUNCILMAN CARN: I'm just curious. I would assume the vast majority of them. In terms of the rolling door, I went back there with your engineer, I saw the door physically, it is worn out. And I said to myself, it's long overdue. Every year, Mercedes, I know money is tight, and you know how to squeeze those nickels until they holla. And it's great pinching pennies, but at the same time, you got to get what you need over there, you know. And don't be afraid to ask. I always tell folks with the budget, tell us what you need and we want to make sure we getting you covered with what you need. But that's something that you definitely needed and, yeah, no question there. But I always tell you, when it's something that we need over there, you know, and this is every department head, speak up. If you need something, speak up, whether it's in the budget or not. Does that mean you will get it every time? No. But let us know what it is. Let us know. Don't be afraid to ask. So, yeah, no question.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Allen?

1012 COUNCILMAN ALLEN: Okay. No, I talked to you today. Thank you very much. My question
1013 was on the doors and about the warranty. And the audio/visual, you know, it's so important to
1014 y'all clients to have it in there. I just appreciate everything you do and they do a great job. So I
1015 don't have any questions.

1017 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Gay?

1019 COUNCILMAN GAY: Ms. Miller, I don't have a question -- well, I do have a question. I just 1020 heard someone say they obviously must be very competitive. How do we know if they're 1021 competitive if we never bidded out the audio?

MS. MILLER: But we did put out an RFQ. We don't bid it out because we don't charge for it, the audio/visual company do. But for this, we did put out an official RFO which should in your

| 1025 | packet |
|------|--------|
|------|--------|

1027 COUNCILMAN GAY: Ms. Bradley, today you sent me an email around 10 o'clock; right?

1028

1029 MS. PHILORD-BRADLEY: Yes.

1030

1031 COUNCILMAN GAY: And that -- okay. All right.

1032

1033 COUNCILMAN CARN: I had one other question with the door. So there's a warranty on the doors?

1035

1036 MS. MILLER: There is a three-year warranty.

1037

1038 COUNCILMAN CARN: Now, eventually, do you think the other doors eventually are going to wear out along those lines?

1040

MS. MILLER: They probably will, but I will get them repaired once they -- just like the others, once they stop being operable, then I will repair them. But we do work on them, as much as we can, before we get to the point of repair -- of replacing them.

1044

1045 COUNCILMAN CARN: And the reason why I was asking, I'm thinking in the back of my head, 1046 well, ultimately, I mean, with time, obviously, you know, it'd be great if we could say, well, hey, 1047 we'll pay for this door, but we want to, you know, put in funds now for, you know --

1048

MS. MILLER: The second one we have repaired was about five years ago, we replaced one of the other doors. So this is number two, so we only have two more to go.

1051

COUNCILMAN CARN: So, I mean, just thinking in my head, I said to myself, well, when the other two doors go, if it's ten years from now, if it's five years from now, whenever it is, it's going to be a whole lot more expensive. Would we ever look at something along the lines of saying, we'll put in for two more doors and pay 'em up now, so when they do go, we can get 'em done at a cheaper rate than it will cost when they actually go, I guess more less. I mean, it doesn't make any sense, or would they even do anything along those lines?

1058 1059

MS. MILLER: I don't know, but I'll check. But I can't imagine they will because you have to store them and they have to make them.

1060 1061

1062 COUNCILMAN CARN: Okay, just curious.

1063 1064

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you, Ms. Miller.

1065 1066

1067

C. Motion for approval to pay Central Square Technologies for the annual maintenance and technical services for One Solution RMS, CAD systems utilized by the College Park Police Department in the amount \$126,051.70.

1068 1069

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Any questions for Chief Rogers on the consideration for Central Square Technologies. Councilman Clay?

1072

1073 COUNCILMAN CLAY: No, I don't have any. This is the system we've used for years, and it

make sense to continue with it. If the Chief's happy with it, I'm happy with it. 1075

1076 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Carn?

1077

1078 COUNCILMAN CARN: Well, Chief, this is what we need; all right.

1079

1080 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Allen?

1081

1082 COUNCILMAN ALLEN: No, I'm good.

1083

1084 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Gay?

1085

COUNCILMAN GAY: No questions.

1086 1087 1088

D. Motion for renewal of a legislative representation agreement between the City of College Park and Peachtree Government Relations (PGR).

1089 1090 1091

1092 1093

1094

1095

MR. BOLIA: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to be here this evening. I'm accompanied with our partner Ohio River South, Howard Franklin and Jennifer Larosa. We provided you a PowerPoint that gives summary of what happened during the 2023 session. Some details about some other things that are going on. We're glad to go through it or certainly answer any questions you have about it. And answer any other questions you might have about the legislative session or what's going on in politics in general.

1096 1097

1098 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Well, we'll start with Councilman Clay?

1099

1100 COUNCILMAN CLAY: PowerPoint is not in my packet.

1101

1102 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Paper copies were at your desk.

1103

1104 COUNCILMAN CARN: Where is it?

1105

1106 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: It's right there.

1107

1108 COUNCILMAN CARN: This is it here?

1109

1110 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Yes, that's it.

1111

1112 COUNCILMAN CLAY: I obviously haven't had a chance to look through it since I just got it when I came to the meeting.

1114

- 1115 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Would you like to go over some of the broader highlights of it,
- 1116 Mr. Bolia, while we have the opportunity to take a look?

- MR. BOLIA: Absolutely. So, just some things in general that we love to talk about is the state
- budget has been robust for the last several years, even through the pandemic. But we're seeing
- for the first time a down turn in state revenue. And so, what you saw the Governor do, at the end
- during the veto session, was he had his budget director actually disregard almost 200 million
- dollars in expenditures, which is a very unusual thing. It's seemed that disregards were -- didn't

matter what party you were in, what part of the state you're in, he just simply disregarded every single request from the Georgia General Assembly. So I think that is something that everyone should take a very close look at. Because it's probably going to mean there are steeper budget cuts, as we look going forward to the 2024 session.

One issue that I know that when we had folks at the Capitol, the homeless issue was a big issue. We took a look at a study committee last year and we participated in that. And in this session, they did pass a bill that directed cities and counties to comply with any codes they may have regard with homelessness. I think you're going to see more and more on this issue as it goes forward. We're seeing a lot of issues in other cities. And I think the General Assembly is taking note of that and trying to get ahead of those items. I'm going to skip forward on electric vehicles. There is an affordable housing bill that was looked at. It did not pass. This is something that Mayor Motley Broom is very involved in. Her leadership with GMA was critical in making sure this bill did not pass. It would have limited what cities and counties could do with regards to ordinances. And it would have capped your ability to limit certain types of developments. So I think that while they're trying to arrest an issue of affordable housing, I don't think the legislature, they were pushed would do that. And Mayor Motley Broom did a fantastic job testifying on behalf of GMA, and behalf of cities throughout the state. I don't know if you want to talk about the truck weights.

MS. LAROSA: Sure. Just to give a brief oversight, as you probably recall, the Governor did have an executive order during COVID that did increase those truck weights at that time.

COUNCILMAN CLAY: Can you talk closer to the microphone, please?

MS. LAROSA: Sure. So I think that some of the industry found that as an opportunity to increase the rates, at that time, or this particular session. It did not get increased to the maximum amount they were asking for, or would pass the House, which was 90. So it was kind of a meet in the middle. And I think most of the legislature saw the Governor support in that executive order as a chance to get in good graces, and probably support this particular increase, for the first time over decades. And so, it does have a sunset, so it will be looked at again. And, at that time, I expect GDOT will come back with full forces; they did this time and so will the local jurisdictions to really show that the difference is the fees rate increases did have.

MR. BOLIA: Two specific pieces of legislation to College Park House Bill 270 was College Park senior exemption. This bill did include, as you heard previously, some specific limits, either disabled and/or have a certain income limit to qualify for this. I should say your Council went through that market; a fantastic job of crafting this legislation, working with legislative counsel, which I think at a minimum, we can say was difficult. But I think he prevailed on many, many points to get this legislature the way you wanted it. And the other bill we looked at was House Bill 124 by Representative Roger Bruce, which dealt with emergency transportation vehicles, ambulances in College Park. While the bill didn't pass, I would say that it allowed to speak and engage in discussion with Grady, which I understand now from your City Manager that they have an emergency ambulance in the College Park area, much more readily available. So I think that could be considered a win for us. I don't know if anyone else wants to talk about that specific issue.

There are several bills that were critical to GMA. I won't necessarily go through them. I think you probably heard that through your summary from GMA. But one thing we are going to be

looking very closely at the study committees that are going on this summer, this fall, and concluding around December of this year. Some of those ones that we think are going to affect College Park include EMS reform, and there's joint study committee on LOST and service delivery. So I think those are things that will be very interesting. We will be at the GMA conference. Howard and I are both planning to attend that, so we'll be able, if you all are there and would like an in depth discussion around these matters. Of course, be listening very close to what the official, the GMA, are saying, because they are great representatives for all cities and the state. We are glad to be part of that as well.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Thank you, Mr. Bolia. Councilman Clay?

1183 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Yes. Don, the one thing on our College Park legislation, it's effective 5/1, but that does not apply to this year; that's still correct, right?

MR. BOLIA: That is correct. As I mentioned, you know, we argued that it should be on the ballot sooner, but it wasn't able to be.

1189 COUNCILMAN CLAY: I know the history. I just want to make sure I still had it right.

1191 MR. BOLIA: Correct.

COUNCILMAN CLAY: The second question is, a while back, thanks to the Governor, there was a return to the taxpayers. Even I got a return. I'm not quite sure why I deserved it, but I got it and I was happy for it. But now we're turning around and we've got a tight situation. So to what extent as you can anticipate, why would we give a rebate if we understood the fiscal prognostication of going forward and then say, oops, now we've got a tight situation? I would have rather not gotten the rebate. I don't know whether anybody else can speak to that, but I would have rather not gotten it and not have the State in a tight situation, such that we gotta scale back other services in whatever form we're scaling them back. Do you know why that happened outside of just politics? I mean, did we miss something?

 MR. BOLIA: Not to get ahead of the folks at the budget office and the State, or the leadership of the State, what I would say is that it's in part how the legislature budgets. So we actually have two budgets that were passed in the '23 session, which is what they call the mid-term budget, which is effectively a budget that last from January 1 of 2024 till June 30th of 2020 -- I'm sorry -- 2023 to June 30th of 2023. And that budget really is to take into account the change in school spending that happens because of head counts that occur when the kids go back to school, and they've already budgeted for them. So that was the purpose of it. But what happens is, a lot of things get put into that mid-term budget including some of these tax cuts. And those things are generally debated and voted on in about February of '23. I think they didn't see their first decline until the March numbers, which don't really come out until later, and then the April numbers.

So the numbers lag behind, from a data standpoint, of what the State's seeing about 30 days. So by then, they've already pretty much had hammered out the FY '24 budget. And I think it's largely due to process. But with that being said, I think the best the Governor could do, to sort of look at the issue after the date has come in, was to do those disregards on about 200 million dollars in spending. With that being said, the rainy day fund of the State is pretty robust. There's a significant amount of money in the rainy day fund that's probably more than they've ever had to sort of weather the storm, so to speak.

Workshop Session Page **25** of **29** 05/05/2023

1222 COUNCILMAN CLAY: All right. That's all. Thank you.

1223

1224 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Carn?

1225

- 1226 COUNCILMAN CARN: I got a few things. And, obviously, I don't think I have to tell anybody
- where I'm starting off at. So, I guess, nobody's going to say it? Nobody's going to talk about it?
- You completely overlooked it? Nothing to say about last year? This year? The big screw up.
- 1229 Nothing?

1230

MR. BOLIA: I'm glad to answer any questions you might have, sir.

1232

1233 COUNCILMAN CARN: Well, I would be glad to hear an acknowledgement of what happened 1234 so that the public knows, first of all. I shouldn't have to ask you about that if you're asking to be 1235 renewed again.

1236

MR. BOLIA: Well, I think we discussed that pretty much at length the last time we were here and we're glad to talk about it again. I know that there was some discussion about whether or not the correct version was passed in the 2022 session. I think we acknowledged and made as many changes as we could. We tried to accommodate and get it done for an earlier vote in '23. But, unfortunately, legislative council ruled against us on our ability to put it on an earlier ballot in 2023, and ruled that we had to put it on the November 2023 ballot.

1243

1244 COUNCILMAN CARN: All right. So, City Manager, and this is not just for you, this is mostly
1245 for the public, so that you know exactly what went on here. When I talk about this city and our
1246 standards being too low, this is a text book example. And what would probably happen here
1247 tonight -- well, my first question is, I didn't see this on the regular session. I see it on the
1248 workshop. But since when have we started voting on items in the workshop session because this
1249 says --

1250

1251 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: This is part of the consent agenda.

1252

1253 COUNCILMAN CLAY: Consent agenda, Joe, for the regular session.

1254

1255 COUNCILMAN CARN: Here's the thing, and for those that don't know what happened, this was 1256 probably the biggest screw up I've seen since we've hired lobbyists, since I've been here. And if 1257 anybody expects me to renew this, there's no way I'm going to do that. Now, Howard, I've 1258 known you for many, many years, and there's a partnership here with lobbying outfits. But, Don, 1259 you're the lead on this; is that right?

1260

1261 MR. BOLIA: That's right.

1262

1263 COUNCILMAN CARN: That's what I thought. Before I make my comments, my
1264 recommendation would be that we put this out for bid, and take a look at everything else that's
1265 out here. And if these two outfits want to bid again, I would recommend, you know, they're
1266 welcome to do it. But the amount of neglect and overlook here is unbelievable to me. If I were
1267 just a customer and you were a business I was doing business with, I'd ask for all of my money
1268 back. I would ask for all of my money back. You get something to the Governor's desk and it's
1269 the wrong bill; the Governor signs the wrong bill? Because you all didn't overlook and cross the

T's and dot the I's.

And then you turn around and blame it on some of us up here. You blame it on some of our State Legislatures, which you did, on video. Everybody but the lobbyist, who's job it was to carry the football into the end zone, and you did not do it. And I want the public to understand why I'm not supporting this, and why we keep accepting subpar standards, with the things that we're doing here and the money we're spending. So I want y'all to follow me on this, and it's kinda hard to follow. But most of y'all heard me say, we hired them to get a bill through both legislative houses, through committees, and to the Governor's desk for signature. When they got it to the Governor's desk, they gave him the wrong bill. I'm gonna say it again. They gave him the wrong bill, and the Governor of Georgia signed the wrong bill.

I guess the best way to analogize this, if you're paying your tax money for services -- and folks, listen, I don't want y'all to take this personal at all. If you wanna rebid, you can rebid. I'm not going to -- absolutely not going to approve this after the job you did last year. And I don't want y'all to take it personal because it's not personal. But my obligation is to the taxpayers and residents of this city first and foremost. And a lot of time, some of us don't understand the meaning of the word. And I explain it to residents like this, so you can understand exactly what happened, and what would you do in this situation? Let's say you're a resident, you hired an attorney for something that you needed to have taken care of in the legal system at the courts. Let's say, you hired them to represent you for an adoption case. You wanted to adopt some child, right. Okay. You hire the attorney, they do the paperwork. They get everything together. They go work things out with the kid, and the counsel, and the whole nine. They get it to the judge. They get it to court. And everything's going well. You get to court, judge signs off on the papers, and you got your adoption. You've adopted your new child. And then, guess what, you find out, well, somebody didn't look at the paper, we adopted the wrong kid.

And I depended on my attorney to check the paperwork to make sure we were adopting little Billy, and we ended up adopting Suzie Q. Now, do you think the next year, or following year, I'm going to hire the same law firm to try again to adopt the right kid, because they didn't pay attention to the detail in the paperwork, get it to the judge again, and have him sign papers on the wrong kid again, on my behalf and I pay for it twice? You know, it's just unacceptable. It's a substandard level of service. And we're going to sit up here -- y'all watch what I tell you -- we will sit up here and pass it and approve it again. You watch what I tell you. But I'm not going to accept it. I'm not going to accept that. I'm not going to accept that. You'd have to be crazy to do that again. I don't think any citizen would do that again. And this right here, this is a textbook example of what I'm talking about. We didn't get their packet until today, after executive session. Folks, you hire a lobbyist to handle the paperwork. You hire a lobbyist to handle the business. I don't understand how your firm cannot manage to get us the material for review in a timely manner, while everyone else is presenting at workshop, and at the regular session, got their materials turned in to the city last week. And we're counting on them to take legislation up to the gold dome, to the Governor? Come on. You got to be kidding me. That's all I got, Mayor.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Allen?

COUNCILMAN ALLEN: I have no questions.

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: Councilman Gay?

- 1319 COUNCILMAN GAY: Well, I think you said it all for me. Well, I would like to add to that, you
- 1320 did explain that to us, but we were told -- and Council member Carn, I don't think it was a
- 1321 mistake, I think it was intentional. That's just my belief; that the Governor got that wrong bill.
- Because the citizens 65 or older who own their house could have got a tax break even though we 1322
- 1323 goofed. And it wouldn't have cost us but 800 to 1.2 million dollars. And they deserve that tax
- break. And they're people on this council who voted against that, but they vote against 1324
- 1325 everything, but a quality of life for seniors who lived in this community, and paid their taxes
- before many of these people ever lived in our city. 1326

- 1328 So voters, please understand that. But more importantly, we were told that it was going to be on
- 1329 November's ballot, this November. So you just praised our city attorney when, in fact, it wasn't
- even put on the ballot. In fact, the legislative council sent numerous emails that I dare you put it 1330
- on the ballot; try it. So the citizens won't even get to vote on that this year. Maybe we'll get 1331
- 1332 another shot at it in the next council.

1333

- MR. BOLIA: I do believe it's going to be on your November ballot, assuming the city requests it 1334
- from the Fulton County Election Division. 1335

1336

COUNCILMAN GAY: November 7th? 1337

1338

1339 MR. BOLIA: Correct.

1340

1341 MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: That's correct.

1342

- COUNCILMAN GAY: I wasn't even aware of that. That was something y'all know about? You 1343
- too? I didn't know about it. When was y'all gonna tell us? 1344

1345

MR. BOLIA: It was in our Sunny Day report which we sent out weeks ago. 1346

1347

1348 COUNCILMAN GAY: And the State is okay with that?

1349

MR. BOLIA: Yes, sir. 1350

1351

- 1352 COUNCILMAN GAY: So why didn't we just put the Governor's deal on the ballot for 65? Why
- we have to fight him on that? I mean, why didn't we just put that one on the bill -- on the ballot, 1353
- 1354 65?

1355

1356 MR. BOLIA: I think it is. That is the age.

1357

1358 COUNCILMAN GAY: Without the income.

1359

- MR. BOLIA: Without the qualifications? My assumption is that was the will of the council to 1360
- 1361 have the limits on -- based on the council's resolution.

1362

COUNCILMAN GAY: I'd like for us to remove this item off the consent agenda, please. 1363

1364

MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: That would be appropriate when we get to amendments, changes, 1365 and deletions to the agenda.

1366

| 1368 | COUNCILMAN GAY: Okay.   |
|------|---|
| 1369 |   |
| 1370 | MAYOR MOTLEY BROOM: In the regular session. Any other questions? Hearing none, we'll take a |
| 1371 | break until 7:50.   |
| 1372 |   |
| 1373 |   |
| 1374 | CITY OF COLLEGE PARK  |
| 1375 |   |
| 1376 |   |
| 1377 |   |
| 1378 |   |
| 1379 |   |
| 1380 | Bianca Motley Broom, Mayor  |
| 1381 |   |
| 1382 |   |
| 1383 | ATTEST:   |
| 1384 |   |
| 1385 |   |
| 1386 |   |
| 1387 |   |
| 1388 | Shavala Ames, City Clerk  |
| 1389 |   |